

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/699,058	10/27/2000	Steven G. Doughty	5053-31201/EBM	6721
75	90 09/21/2004		EXAMINER	
ERIC B. MEYERTONS			COLBERT, ELLA	
CONLEY, ROSE & TAYON, P.C. P. O. BOX 398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX	78767-0398		3624	
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
	09/699,058	DOUGHTY ET AL.	,
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ella Colbert	3624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replepty within the statutory minimum of thirty (but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	October 2000.		
,— ,	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appiority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5-7</u>. 	Paper No(s)/l	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
C Detect and Trademate Office			

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-13 are pending.

2. The IDS submitted 0-07/12/02, 10/14/03, and 06/25/04 is acknowledged and has been considered.

Abstract

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the Abstract contains over 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Content of Specification

(j) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 5-7, and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by (US 5,892,905) Brandt et al, hereafter Brandt.

Art Unit: 3624

Claims 1, 5, and 10. Brandt teaches, A method performed in a Financial Service Organization (FSO) computer system, the method comprising: building a first key value from one or more data element values stored in a first memory in the FSO computer system (col. 13, lines 32-58; comparing the first key value to one or more key values stored in a second memory, wherein the second memory comprises one or more database identifier values each corresponding to a respective key value of the one or more key Values (col. 9, lines 53-64, col. 10, lines 51-65, col. 13, lines 50-58 and col. 14. lines 14-24); writing into a third memory a first database identifier value of the one or more database identifier values stored in the second memory in response to finding a match between the first key value and one of the one or more key values stored in the second memory (col. 14, lines 1-13and col. 28, lines 9-32); and accessing a first database in response to writing the first database identifier value into the third memory (col. 31, lines 26-49); wherein the one or more key values and the one or more database identifier values stored in the second memory are entered by a user of the FSO computer system during a configuration of the FSO computer system (col. 28, lines 57- col. 30, line 44). Brandt did not specifically disclose the writing into a third memory. However, it is inherent that the HTML has to be written and the internal cache is interpreted as the third memory.

Claim 10: Brandt further teaches, a computer program (col. 1, lines 33-40); and FSO computer system (col. 2, lines 25-51); and wherein the computer program is executable on the FSO computer system (col. 2, lines 52-67, col. 3, lines 56-65, and col. 30, lines 52-67).

Art Unit: 3624

Claims 2, 6, and 11. Brandt teaches, The method of claim 1, wherein the FSO computer system comprises a plurality of databases, wherein the plurality of databases includes the first database, wherein each of the plurality of databases corresponds to a respective database identifier value, wherein one of the plurality of databases is an active database, wherein an active database identifier value corresponding to the active database is stored in a fourth memory, wherein the accessing the first database in response to writing the first database identifier value into the third memory comprises: comparing the first database identifier value in the third memory to the active database identifier value in the fourth memory (col. 31, line 58-col. 32, line 51); and setting the active database to the first database in response to the first database identifier value in the third memory not matching the active database identifier value in the fourth memory (col. 33, lines 2-31).

Claims 3, 7, and 12. Brandt teaches, The method of claim 2, wherein setting the active database to the first database comprises setting the active database identifier value stored in the fourth memory to the first database identifier value from the third memory (col. 27, lines 5-64).

6. Claims 4, 8, 9, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (US 5,892,905) Brandt et al, hereafter Brandt in view of (US 5,742,820) Pearlman et al, hereafter Pearlman.

Claims 4, 8, and 13. Brandt failed to teach, The method of claim 1, wherein the FSO computer system comprises a key definition comprising one or more data elements, wherein the first key value comprises one or more key fields, wherein the

Art Unit: 3624

building the first key value from one or more data element values in the first memory in the FSO computer system comprises: reading a first data element value from the first memory, wherein a location of the first data element value in the first memory is defined by a first data element from the key definition; and storing the first data element value in a first key field in the first key value in response to reading the first data element from the first memory. Pearlman teaches, wherein the FSO computer system comprises a key definition comprising one or more data elements, wherein the first key value comprises one or more key fields, wherein the building the first key value from one or more data element values in the first memory in the FSO computer system comprises: reading a first data element value from the first memory (204), wherein a location of the first data element value in the first memory is defined by a first data element from the key definition (Figures 4A-B); and storing the first data element value in a first key field in the first key value in response to reading the first data element from the first memory (col. 3, lines 50-59, fig 7, and figs 4A-B). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to read a first data element value from the first memory, wherein a location of the first data element value in the first memory is defined by a first data element from the key definition and store the first data element value in a first key field in the first key value in response to reading the first data element from the first memory and to modify in Brandt because such a modification would allow Brandt to have a database identifier generated by a node of the computer network and distributed (written to other receiving nodes coupled to the network and to

Art Unit: 3624

have the storage locations composed of random access memory (RAM) devices and an operating system with portions resident in memory.

Claim 9. Brandt teaches, wherein the carrier medium is a memory medium (col. 10, lines 51-65).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure.

Adair et al (US 5,257,366) disclosed a database management system (DBMS), storage, and activities in business.

Inquiries

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ella Colbert whose telephone number is 703-308-7064. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1038. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

. Colbert

September 18, 2004